United States District Court

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Rodney Morrow	Case Number: 98-90014
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 detention of the defendant pending trial in this ca	U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the ase.
Part I—Findings of Fact	
or local offense that would have been a a crime of violence as defined in 1 an offense for which the maximum	se described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is
a felony that was committed after t § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable	the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. the state or local offenses.
 (2) The offense described in finding (1) was (3) A period of not more than five years has for the offense described in finding (1) 	as committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. as elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment
	a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the ommunity. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alternative Findings (A)
\square (1) There is probable cause to believe that	
_	risonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presset the appearance of the defendant as requ	umption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure tired and the safety of the community.
	Alternative Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant	nt will not appear.
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant	nt will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
I find that the credible testimony and inform derance of the evidence that	II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention nation submitted at the hearing establishes by □ clear and convincing evidence □ a preponence or employment. (violation hearing scheduled for 10/6/05)
to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting reasonable opportunity for private consultation Government, the person in charge of the correction connection with a court proceeding.	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the ons facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
September 28, 2005	s/R. Steven Whalen Signature of Judge
Date	MAGISTRATE ILIDGE ER STEVEN WHALEN

Name and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).